

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P O Box 1430 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.wepto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/598,088	08/17/2006	Michael L. Weiner	1008 015 501 0201	9951
37211 BASCH & NIO	7590 01/15/200 CKERSON LLP	EXAMINER		
1777 PENFIEI	.D ROAD		GETZOW, SCOTT M	
PENFIELD, N	Y 14526		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3762	
			NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			01/15/2009	ELECTRONIC

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

dneels@bnpatentlaw.com dmasters@bnpatentlaw.com mnickerson@bnpatentlaw.com

Office Action Summary

Application No.	Applicant(s)	
10/598,088	WEINER, MICHAEL L.	
Examiner	Art Unit	
Scott M. Getzow	3762	

The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspo

Period for Reply
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.35(g), in no event, however, may a reply be timely fixed If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (5) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply with 10 years described to be become ARMONDE (38 CS.C., \$133).
Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1,704(b).
Status
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>03 November 2008</u> .
2a)⊠ This action is FINAL. 2b) This action is non-final.
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is
closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.
Disposition of Claims
4) Claim(s) 32-48 is/are pending in the application.
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>32-48</u> is/are rejected.
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.
Application Papers
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of:
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.
Attachment(s)

1)	Notice

Attachment(s)		
Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary (PTO-413)	
Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	Paper No(s)/Mail Date	
3) Tinformation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SS/08)	 Notice of Informal Patent Application 	
Paper No(s)/Mail Date	6) Other:	

Page 2

Application/Control Number: 10/598,088

Art Unit: 3762

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

 Claims 32-38,41-48 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Levine et al (6,058,328) in view of Collins (5,782,873).

See previous office action.

Claims 39,40 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over
 Levine et al (6,058,328) in view of Collins (5,782,873) and further in view of Klemic et al (2004/0168912).

See previous office action.

 THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Application/Control Number: 10/598,088

Art Unit: 3762

The remarks made by applicant have been considered, however the above rejections are still deemed to be proper. Applicant's principal argument is that Collins appears not to teach generating an electrical enhancement signal based upon a sensed condition of the patient. However, it is considered to be common sensical that a electrical enhancement signal be generated based upon a sensed signal since the user would want to know when the particular tissue is not functioning properly so that the tissue can have its threshold lowered so that it can function normally. Further, to generate an enhancement signal without first meeting certain conditions, (such as detecting that the tissue is not functioning properly), would be a waste of energy for the battery, and therefore an inefficient use of the system. The ordinarily skilled artisan would want to use the bio-function device in the most efficient manner, which would be only generating a signal when needed. It should also be noted that Collins teaches that adjustment of the 'bias' signal can be done in response to a particular signal received from a transducer intermittently after the device is installed in the patient, col. 7.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Scott M. Getzow whose telephone number is (571) 272-4946. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F, 9-5.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Angela Sykes can be reached on (571) 272-4955. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/598,088 Page 4

Art Unit: 3762

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/Scott M. Getzow/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 3762